

LABOUR AND EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

The 16th July, 1982

No. 10(178)-78-5Lab.— In exercise of the powers conferred by section 87 read with section 91-A of the Employees State Insurance Act, 1948 (Central Act of 34 of 1948), the Governor of Haryana hereby exempts the under-mentioned factories and establishments engaged in the manufacturing processes in the State of Haryana from the operation of the said Act with effect from the 1st January, 1982 to 30th June, 1982, namely:—

1. Redrying manufactured leaf tobacco;
2. Rice-milling;
3. Salt manufacture;
4. Wool pressing either with or without cotton pressing and ginning;
5. Oil Mill, subject to the condition that the process of oil milling is subsidiary to any other manufacturing process which is seasonal and so long as the number of employees engaged in oil milling is less than fifty;
6. Ice manufacture.

H. L. GUGNANI,

Commissioner and Secretary to Govt. Haryana,
Labour Employment Department.

LABOUR DEPARTMENT

Order

The 6th August, 1982

No. ID/FD/97/82/36521.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Ram Rup and the management of M/s Amarpali House of Fashion Pvt. Ltd., Sector-27 Plot No. 27, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab-57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3-Lab.68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968, under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Ram Rup was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/SPT/23/82/36529.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Prem Pal Singh and the management of M/s Kumar Iron and Steel Works, Industrial Area, Sonapat, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Rohtak constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act 1947,—vide Government Notification No. 3864-ASO(E)Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970 read with Government Notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970 the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Prem Pal Singh was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?